

Free Slavery

The Question

At what point in a free will relationship does that relationship become obligatory to one of the members?

At what point in a free will relationship does it become right and acceptable to deny one party the right to their own will—the right to their own life?

This is an important question because the judiciary in the United States seem to come up with innumerable answers as to how it is effected. Are all, some or even one of their denials of human rights, human free will, justifiable?

A Definition

These appear to be a very hard questions, for one thing one can argue that the rights of the many outweigh the rights of the few. However, this argument assumes that the rights of one individual is somehow distinct and different from the rights of another. Is man different from man qua man?

Now I was led to the ability to define man by my training in what is called today the Lucid Dream-state—a training that covered many years of my life—a training that was written that would be taken from man for so long a time he would forget what it was for, and even how to use it. It is back, and what is written about it is often very fanciful. I, however, took a more structured approach, and was, quite frankly, educated. I was led to a definition of man which has many metaphors pointing to it in the Scripture. I will present one metaphor, but there are many,

6 And I beheld, and, lo, in the midst of the throne and of the four beasts, and in the midst of the elders, stood a Lamb as it had been slain, having seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven Spirits of God sent forth into all the earth.

Seven areas of perception, seven means to protect one's life, seven areas of human will.

The definition, of course, would run like this,

That human body acquisition system which must acquire something from the environment, process that which it has acquired for a product that sustains and promotes the life of the body.

And, we can indeed locate, by definition, seven such lights on this menorah.

1) Ocular System. 2) Digestive System. 3.) Cardio-vascular System. 4) Vestibular System. 5.) Environmental Manipulative System. 6) Pro-creative System.

7) And last of all, the Mark of the Beast himself, 666; The shutter that turns the past into the future and the future into the past, The Judgmental System.

Now it stands to reason, that since all of these systems must be functional in order to have life, and have it more abundantly that the responsibility of every human is to learn them, perfect them—for if one of them fail, the whole fails.

And it stands to reason, that since the Scripture from front to back, is concerned about human judgment, that human judgment is not functional, man is, by definition dead. One proof of this is how the scripture is written, it is sealed to man's understanding, and it is sealed not by magic, but by using simple principles of judgment.

Well, so much for that approach. It seems that if man does not know judgment, he is not a man at all.

So, it seems I can toss that approach to the wind as being useless because if a thing cannot judge right from wrong, one cannot actually call him a living man. Nor, since he cannot judge right from wrong, one cannot even say that he has a will. Like any dumb animal, he may do as he pleases, but one cannot by definition, call it will. He is doomed to die in his own folly.

A Simpler Approach

Looks like I need to refer to the first principles of reason itself if I am going to answer the question. Since there are two, and only two methods of constructing a set, enumeration and definition, my answer must lie there. Enumeration does not involve judgment. We can put anything at all into a set and call it a set—like our current judicial system. It's members need not have even as much similarity as a rock has to an aphid. However, using definition, which is the means of judgment for it is a standard by which members of a class are either included or excluded, one can answer the question. The answer becomes obvious. It is as simple as saying, in the class of free-will which member is not-free will. It is logically impossible, it is neither rational nor sane. Yet this madness has become a cornerstone in American so-called justice. As long as our judicial system keeps coming up with the wrong answer—denying the very principles of reason itself, they have denied the foundation, the principles not only embodied in the Constitution of this Country, but the very foundation of humanity itself—the very function of the human mind and spirit. They are what God said would someday be destroyed from ruling the earth. The only thing that I, as a human being can do, is to work for judgment day—the day that man learns judgment.

I am like Pinocchio I want to be a real man! Well, take your pick, I either have a messianic complex or the Pinocchio syndrome.